

Republic of the Philippines Department of Education Region VI – Western Visayas DIVISION OF AKLAN

DIVISION OF AKLAI Kalibo, Aklan



January 30, 2018

DIVISION MEMORANDUM No. 5 s. 2018

2018 SEARCH FOR AKLAN'S TEN OUTSTANDING MENTORS (ATOM)

To: Chief Education Program Supervisors
Education Program Supervisors/Coordinator
Public Schools District Supervisors
Principals/Head Teacher In-Charge of the District
Senior/Education Program Specialists
Heads, Public/Private Elementary/Secondary/Integrated Schools
Other Personnel Concerned

- 1. DepEd-Aklan and the Razon Tumbucon Haresco Foundation shall launch the "2018 Search for Aklan's Ten Outstanding Mentors (ATOM), Year V."
- 2. The ATOM is launched yearly to reward teachers who exhibited remarkable dedication in teaching through exemplary competence, extraordinary dedication to their work and effective educational leadership.
- 3. The Search is open to all Teacher I to Master Teacher II teachers in the Division of Aklan, be it special education, indigenous people, and Alternative Learning System, with at least 5 years in public service, and have average performance ratings for the last three of at least **Very Satisfactory**.
- 4. Their average performance ratings for the last three years should be at least Very Satisfactory.
- 5. All districts **MUST** recommend one (1) nominee from the elementary level and one (1) from the secondary level from **either a public or a private** school. School heads are encouraged to nominate their best candidates.
- 6. The candidates shall be endorsed by the Public Schools District Supervisor or the Principal/Head Teacher In-Charge of the District.
- 7. Pertinent documents should be properly reviewed by the District Offices to ensure their authenticity and validity. The original documents should be available once requested by the committee.
- 8. There will be two phases of the selection process. Phase I is the evaluation of documents and Phase II for Behavioral Event Interview, Demonstration Teaching and Background Investigation. Only the top 15 from the initial screening will proceed to Phase II.
- 9. The scores of the Top 15 qualified applicants in Phase I will have no bearing in Phase II.
- 10. The Search will commence on February 16, 2018 to March 22, 2018, and the Awarding Ceremonies on April 19, 2018.

- 11. The decision of the Board of Judges is final and irrevocable.
- 12. For more information, you may address your concerns to Dr. Leilanie F. Sindingan, Senior Education Program Specialist, In-charge of the ATOM awards.
- 13. Attached herewith are the Basic Information Sheet with nomination sheet and the schedule of activities.
- 14. Wide dissemination of this Memorandum is strongly desired.

Dr. ERMESTO F. SERVILLON, Jr., MNSA, CESO VI
Assistant Schools Division Superintendent
Officer-In-Charge
Office of the Schools Division Superintendent

Reference: None Incls.: as stated

To be indicated in the <u>Perpetual Index</u> under the following subjects:

AWARDS/PRIZES SEARCH

STUDENTS TEACHERS

LFS

CRITERIA FOR THE SELECTION OF AKLAN'S TEN OUTSTANDING MENTORS (ATOM)

Phase I.

	rformance Rating (SY 2014-2015, SY 2015-2016, SY 2016-2017) 15%
	cognition and Awards the Candidate Received (obtained SY 2012-2013 up to December 31, 2017)	ጎ ደማ
	sition as Trainer/Lecturer/Demo Teacher/	25%
	ource Speaker/Judge/Consultant (obtained from SY	
	-2013 up to December 31, 2017)	15%
	tiatives/Innovations/Best Practices leading to improved	13/6
	ning outcomes (obtained from SY 2012-2013	
	December 31, 2017)	20%
•	adership in the Community/Community Service	2070
	lusive of job-related services like doing election tasks	
•	the like) (obtained from SY 2012-2012 up to December 31, 2	2017) 5%
	nted Publications and Learning Resources	2011 7 070
	cept thesis/dissertation and school paper) (obtained	
_	1 SY 2014-2015 up to January 31, 2017)	10%
	search (e.g., Action Research, Case Study, Educational	
	arch, Desk Research, etc., except thesis and dissertation)	
	ained from SY 2012-2013 up to December 31, 2017)	10%
r		
	Total	100%
Phase II.		
1.	Behavioral Event Interview	30%
11.	Demonstration Teaching	30%
₩.	Attitude Towards Work, Supervisors, Peers and	
	other Stakeholders	40%
		, -
	Total	100%

A. Performance Rating. The candidates will be rated according to the numerical value of their average performance rating for the last three years. Since the performance rating is in 1-5, it is converted to an equivalent rating.

Table 1. Conversion of Performance Rating to Equivalent Rating

Performance Rating	Equivalent Rating
1.0	50.00
1.1	51.25
1.2	52.50
1.3	53.75
1.4	55.00
1.5	56.25
1.6	57.50
1.7	58.75
1.8	60.00
1.9	61.25
2.0	62.50
2.1	63.75
2.2	65.00
2.3	66.25
2.4	67.50
2.5	68.75
2.6	70.00
2.7	71.25
2.8	72.50
2.9	73.75
3.0	75.00

Performance	Equivalent
Rating	Rating
3.1	76.25
3.2	77.50
3.3	78.75
3.4	80.00
3.5	81.25
3.6	82.50
3.7	83.75
3.8	85.00
3.9	86.25
4.0	87.50
4.1	88.75
4.2	90.00
4.3	91.25
4.4	92.50
4.5	93.75
4.6	95.00
4.7	96.25
4.8	97.50
4.9	98.75
5.0	100.00

B. Recognition and Awards Received During the Implementation of DepEd Programs and Project. The points of the candidate will be based on Tables 2, 3, 4. To get the equivalent rating, the points will be totaled. The candidate can have a maximum of 100 equivalent rating.

Table 2. Table for Points to be Given to the Candidate for Awards and Recognition He/She individually Received

(Individual Participation)

Level) st	2 nd	3 rd	Participation
International	12	11	9	7
National	10	9	7	5
Regional	8	7	5	4
Provincial/Division	6	4	3	2
District	4	2	1	0.5
School	2	1	0.5	0.25

Table 3. Table for Points to be Given to the Candidate for Awards and Recognition He/She individually Received as a Member of the Group

(Group Participation)

Level	1 st	2 nd	3rd	Participation
International	10	9	7	5
National	8	7	6	3
Regional	5	5	3	2
Provincial/Division	3	3]	1
District	2	1	0.75	0.5
School	1	0.5	0.5	0.25

Table 4. Table for Points for the Awards and Recognition Received by Individuals or Groups Where the Candidate Acted as Trainer or Coach for the Co- or Extra-Curricular Activities.

Level	Individual	Individual	Individual	Group	Group	Group
reae!	1 st	2 nd	3rd] st	2 nd	3rd
International	12	11	9	20	15	12
National	10	9	7	15	10	9
Regional	8	7	5	11	8	7
Provincial/Division	6	4	3	9	6	4
District	4	2	1	5	3	2
School	2	1	0.5	3	2	1

Note: <u>Individual category</u> is defined as having 1 to 2 participants; <u>group</u> <u>category</u>, 3 or more.

In giving points to <u>multiple</u> trainers/coaches of a group, the following allocation should be followed:

Lead trainer/coach
Others (e.g. assistant, members)

60% of the total points earned 40% of the total points earned

C. Position as Trainer/Lecturer/Demo Teacher/Resource Speaker/Contest/Judge/Consultant. Table 7 must be used in getting the total points. In this criterion, a candidate can earn a maximum of 50 points. The points will then be converted to equivalent rating using Table 8.

Table 7. Table on Points for Trainer/Lecturer/Demo Teacher/Resource Speaker/Judge/Consultant

Level	Points
International	12
National	10
Regional	8
Provincial/Division	6
District	4
School	2

Table 8. Conversion of Points to Equivalent Rating

Points	Equivalent Rating	Points	Equivalent Rating	Points	Equivalent Rating
0.5-2	76	18.1-20	85		
2.1-4	77	20.1-22	86		
4.1-6	78	22.1-24	87	36.1-38	94
6.1-8	79	24.1-26	88	38.1-40	95
8.1-10	80	26.1-28	89	40.1-42	96
10.1-12	81	28.1-30	90	42.1-44	97
12.1-14	82	30.1-32	91	44.1-46	98
14.1-16	83	32.1-34	92	46.1-48	99
16.1-18	84	34.1-36	93	48.1-50	100

- E. Initiatives/Innovations/Best Practices leading to improved learning outcomes
- F. Leadership in the Community/Community
 Service (exclusive of job-related services
 like doing election tasks and the like)
- G. Education-related Publications and Learning Resources (except thesis/dissertation and school papers)
- H. Research (e.g., Action Research, Case Study, Educational Research, Desk Research, etc., except thesis and dissertation)

Tables 7 and 8 will also be used in getting the points and converting them to equivalent rating. In each dimension, a maximum of 50 points can be eamed.

J. COMPUTATION OF THE RANK

The **equivalent ratings** that the candidate obtain will be multiplied by their corresponding percentage weight and the products will be added together to get the total score. Based on the total score, the ranks will be determined.

In case of ties in the 10th place this will be broken by tossing a coin.

K. DISQUALIFICATION CLAUSE

An awardee shall be disqualified from joining the succeeding year's contests.

Failure to comply with the above stated requirements will be subject to disqualification or unacceptance of the documents.

L. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

The supporting documents submitted by the District Screening Committee to the Division Screening Committee should be **certified true copies**. The documents will not be returned to the candidates.

CRITERIA FOR THE SELECTION OF SEARCH FOR AKLAN'S TEN OUTSTANDING MENTORS (ATOM)

							JATOT	POINTS	=	18,18
	Supervisors, Peers		001			00 L =	X	20.0	-	00.3
ه.	Attitude Towards Work,									
.8	Kesearch		0			0 =	X	20.0	=	00.0
Υ.	Printed Publications		0			0 =	Χ	20.0	=	00.0
.6	Leadership in the Community		09			001 =	Χ	20.0	=	00.3
.8	Initiatives/Innovations/etc.		85			00 L =	X	01.0	=	00.01
	Demo Teacher/etc.		1E			16 =	X	31.0		13.65
' 7	Position as Trainer/Lecturer/									
		2X 2015-2016	⁼ ε.8δ	=	ÞE. 48	06 =	×	61.0	=	13.50
3.	Academic Performance	SY 2014-2015	_ 1. 09	128.68	, , ,			310		03 01
		2Y 2016-2017								
		2X 2015-2016								
2.	Recognition and Awards	2Y 2014-2015	08			08 =	Χ	02.0		00.81
		2X 2015-2016	≖ 8.ε		3.65	63.29	X	0.20	=	99.81
,r	Performance Rating	SY 2014-2015	3.5	<u>05.7</u>				000		,, 0:
MA2)	ONIX)				Averag	Equivalent Rating				-
Ointei (:4									
Name	. (

Note: 1. The highest equivalent rating is 100.

2. For items 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, the average point is considered.

4. For item 9, the points to be given will be deliberated by the screening committee.

DIVISION SCREENING COMMITTEE

2013



INSTRUCTIONS

1. Please fill out this form completely. Use black init and print legibly or encode in the computer and submit not later than February 16, 2018.



By: _____

Full Name (Mr./Marticle App	_	Surnam	ne ·	Given Name	Middle Name
Home Address:					
	Residence N	No. Street	District/Town/	Barangay (City/Province Zip Cod
Nickname:		Date of Birth:		Age:	Sex:
Personal E-mail A	Address:	Mobile No.:		Residence Tel (Area Code +	
Current School A	Affiliation:			7 11	······································
Address:				······································	
Competition join	ning in:	Elementary	Secondary	Public	Private
Grade Level Beir	na Handlad:		· · · ·		!
Cidde Level bell	ng nanalea				
					
Course:	BEED	BSE Major:	, N	din arı	
ί <u></u>	▅▋ ·	DOE, Major.		MITOL.	
	Others, plea	ase specify			
		ase specify	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Subject/s Taught		ase specify			
Subject/s Taught	†:				
Subject/s Taught	†:	ase specify			
Subject/s Taught	†:				
Subject/s Taught		FCATONS			
Subject/s Taught					load but with administrat
Subject/s Taught	Full-loa	d with administrati	ve/ancillary duty/i	duty/ies	load but with administrat
Subject/s Taught	Full-loa	FCATONS	ve/ancillary duty/i	duty/ies	load but with administrat
Subject/s Taught	Full-loa	d with administrati	ve/ancillary duty/i	duty/ies	load but with administrat
Subject/s Taught	Full-loa	d with administrati	ve/ancillary duty/i trative duty/ies	duty/ies	load but with administrat
Subject/s Taught	Full-loa	d with administration	ve/ancillary duty/i trative duty/ies	duty/ies	load but with administrat
Subject/s Taught	Full-loa	d with administration	ve/ancillary duty/i trative duty/ies	duty/ies	load but with administrat
Subject/s Taught Teaching Load:	Full-loa * Full-loa	d with administration and is 360 minutes/d	ve/ancillary duty/i trative duty/ies	NOT full-administ	load but with administrat
Subject/s Taught Teaching Load:	Full-loa * Full-loa	d with administration	ve/ancillary duty/i trative duty/ies	NOT full-administ	load but with administrat
Subject/s Taught	Full-loa * Full-loa * Full-loa No. of	d with administration and is 360 minutes/d	ve/ancillary duty/i trative duty/ies	NOT full-administ	load but with administrat
Subject/s Taught Teaching Load:	Full-loa * Full-loa * Full-loa A	d with administration and is 360 minutes/d	ve/ancillary duty/i trative duty/ies	NOT full-administ	load but with administrat

II. CERTIFICATION BY THE NOMINEE (to be filled out by the nominee)

sis for my disqualification.		
SIGNED THIS DAY OF	C Date	nt Place
	PRI	NTED NAME AND SIGNATURE OF NOMINEE
TIFICATION BYTHEN	OMNATORIO	filed out by the nominator or
TECATION BY THE N		IS A NOMINEE OF THE SCHO
	(Name of Nomine	IS A NOMINEE OF THE SCHO
	(Name of Nominea	IS A NOMINEE OF THE SCHO

Date	Activities	Venue	Person(s) Involoved	Tasks/Responsibilities of Persons Involved
January 30, 2018	Release of Memorandum and Basic Information Sheet (BIS) And Nomination Form (to the District Office)	District Offices/Schools	SEPS Dr. Leilanie F. Sindingan	Releases Memorandum
February 16, 2018	Deadline for Submission of BIS, Nomination Form and Supporting Documents	SGOD Office	EPSt II Roland Democrito PDO I Sheena Rikka Mamburam PDO I Melky Arboleda	Check the completeness of documents submitted Record the received folders Make the profile of applicants
February 26 - March 2, 2018	Validation of Documents	ASJ Hall, Division Office	CES Dobie Parohinog CES Michael Rapiz EPS Dr. Mary Cherry Lynn Dalipe EPS Dr. Edselyn Biray EPS Dr. Edselyn Biray EPS Ruby Agnes Estrada EPS Corazon Panaligan EPS Marivic Toelntino EPS Ariel Zubiaga PSDS Nerissa Repaz EPS MArth Tropa EPS Rebecca Ibarreta SEPS Edna Ayon SEPS Archt Mar Bien Gregory Parel	Evaluate documents and identify the Top 15 Qualifiers
March 8, 2018	Release of Results for Top 15 Qualifiers		SEPS Dr. Leilanie F. Sindingan	Makes and releases Division Advisory
March 12-16, 2018	Interview, Demonstration Teaching of Top 15 Qualifiers	ASJ Hall, Division Office	* SEPS Dr. Leilanie F. Sindingan EPSt II Roland Democrito PDO I Sheena Rikka Mamburam PDO I Melky Arboleda * EPSt II June Patricio PDO II Mahnnie Tolentino * External Judges	* Facilitate the process Prepare the materials and adjudication sheets Document the activities * Tabulate the result *Interview and rate demonstration teaching

Date	Activities	Venue	Person(s) Involoved	Tasks/Responsibilities of Persons Involved
anytime	Background Investigation for Top 15 Qualifiers			Conduct background checking of participants
March 20-21,2018	Deliberation and Selection of Winners	ASJ Hall, Division Office	Internal and external judges Tabulators	Finalize the list of Ten Outstanding Mentors
March 22, 2018	Release of Memorandum on the results of Search for 2018 ATOM		SEPS Dr. Leilanie F. Sindingan	Releases Memorandum
March 27, 2018	Meeting of 2018 ATOM	ASJ Hall, Division Office	SEPS Dr. Leilanie F. Sindingan EPSt II Roland Democrito	Lead the meeting Document the procedure of the meeting
April 11, 2018	"A Talk with the Winners" and General Rehearsal	ASJ Hall, Division Office	OIC-SDS Dr. Ernesto F. Servillon, Jr., MNSA, CESO VI, Hon. Teodorico T. Haresco, Jr., OIC-ASDS Jose Niro R. Nillasca SEPS Dr. Leilanie Sindingan SEPS Archt Mar Bien Gregory Parel SEPS Edna Ayon EPSt II Roland Democrito PDO I Sheena Rikka Mamburam PDO I Melky Arboleda Media Support Staff	
April 19, 2018 (tentative)	Awarding Ceremony	ABL Sport Complex	Working Committees	Ensure an organized and successful ATOM Awarding Ceremonies